

Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

Ab initio study of transport properties in defected carbon nanotubes: an O(N) approach

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article. 2008 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 20 294214 (http://iopscience.iop.org/0953-8984/20/29/294214) View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details: IP Address: 129.252.86.83 The article was downloaded on 29/05/2010 at 13:33

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 20 (2008) 294214 (8pp)

Ab initio study of transport properties in defected carbon nanotubes: an O(N) approach

Blanca Biel^{1,4}, F J García-Vidal¹, Ángel Rubio^{2,3} and Fernando Flores¹

 ¹ Departamento de Física Teórica de la Materia Condensada, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, E-28049 Madrid, Spain
 ² European Theoretical Spectroscopy Facility (ETSF), Departamento de Física de Materiales,

¹ Universidad País Vasco, Edificio Korta, Avenida Tolosa 72, 20018 San Sebastián, Spain
 ³ Unidad de Física de Materiales Centro Mixto CSIC-UPV/EHU and Donostia International Physics Center (DIPC), 20018 San Sebastián, Spain

E-mail: blanca.biel@cea.fr

Received 16 January 2008 Published 24 June 2008 Online at stacks.iop.org/JPhysCM/20/294214

Abstract

A combination of *ab initio* simulations and linear-scaling Green's functions techniques is used to analyze the transport properties of long (up to 1 μ m) carbon nanotubes with realistic disorder. The energetics and the influence of single defects (monovacancies and divacancies) on the electronic and transport properties of single-walled armchair carbon nanotubes are analyzed as a function of the tube diameter by means of the local orbital first-principles Fireball code. Efficient O(N) Green's functions techniques framed within the Landauer–Büttiker formalism allow a statistical study of the nanotube conductance averaged over a large sample of defected tubes and thus extraction of the nanotube localization length. The cases of zero and room temperature are both addressed.

(Some figures in this article are in colour only in the electronic version)

1. Introduction

Carbon nanotubes [1] are one of the most promising materials for future nanoelectronics due to their unique electrical transport properties [2]. In perfect single-walled carbon nanotubes (SWCNTs), ballistic electron conduction has been observed [3] provided inelastic processes can be neglected. In this coherent regime, however, the presence of defects, dopants or other impurities [4] will particularly affect the performance of the device [5], as it will determine the transport in nanotubes from a ballistic regime to weak or even strong localization regimes, where the resistance will increase exponentially with the length of the system [6].

To fully achieve control on the properties of carbon nanotubes, it is thus essential to perform accurate analyses of realistic disorder on carbon nanotube-based devices. Although simulation tools based on density functional theory (DFT) provide great accuracy and are particularly suitable for the study of defects in a wide range of materials, their applicability is limited by their poor scaling, which leads to a huge computational effort when the number of atoms in the system is larger than a few hundred. This prevents using standard *ab initio* techniques to analyze directly the properties of electronic devices in the mesoscopic scale.

To overcome this limitation, we have combined the use of standard (no linear-scaling) first-principles methods to extract the electronic properties of single defects in carbon nanotubes with the use of O(N) Green's functions techniques to study the transport properties of long tubes with a random distribution of those defects. This approach allows us to calculate characteristic transport lengths such as the localization length for different densities of defects, providing an interesting tool for tuning the transport properties of carbon nanotubes, for instance, by a controlled creation of the defects [6]

⁴ Present address: CEA, LETI-MINATEC, 17 rue des Martyrs, 38054 Grenoble, Cedex 9, France.

through irradiation, and was able to predict the existence of the Anderson localization regime in these carbon systems at room temperature as long as the electronic phase coherence is preserved [6, 7]. The emergence of the Anderson localization phenomenon in chemically doped carbon nanotubes has been theoretically predicted in other works [8, 9], in which a similar approach based on a tight-binding modeling from *ab initio* calculations of single B or N impurities was used to characterize the transition between different transport regimes.

2. Theoretical framework

Our analysis of the transport properties of the defected carbon nanotubes was separated into two steps. First, we studied the perturbation effect that single, isolated defects have—at zero temperature—on the geometry and the electronic properties of an otherwise ideal tube. In a further step, a study of the transport properties of nanotubes with a more realistic disorder has been performed, calculating the conductance along the nanotubes, both at zero and at finite temperature, for a statistically meaningful sample of nanotubes with different concentrations of randomly distributed defects.

Our approach combines first-principles calculations to obtain the effective one-electron Hamiltonian for the defected nanotubes with non-equilibrium Green's functions techniques, which allow the calculation of the conductance for very long up to microns—tubes.

2.1. Geometry optimization

In our calculations we have used the ab initio Fireball'96 code [10-12], that provides fast and accurate molecular dynamics calculations of the geometries and electronic properties for a wide range of systems. This method uses localized orbitals, generated by solving the atomic problem within the DFT-LDA and the pseudopotential approximations. Norm-conserving pseudopotentials are used, as well as the Ceperley-Alder form of the exchange-correlation potential as parametrized by Perdew and Zunger [13]. The forces on each atom are calculated by means of a variation of the Hellmann-Feynman theorem, and molecular dynamics studies are used to obtain the atomic configuration of lowest energy. A selfconsistent implementation of the Harris functional allows us to avoid the very time-consuming calculation of the electronic charge density $\rho(\vec{r})$, which is substituted by the calculation of the *orbital occupancies* n_{μ} of the 'fireball' orbitals ϕ_{μ} , defined by

$$\rho(\vec{r}) = \sum_{\mu} n_{\mu} |\phi_{\mu}(\vec{r})|^2.$$
 (1)

This approach allows us to optimize the electronic charge density ρ according to the chemical environment of the atoms. The different values of n_{μ} are then obtained by imposing a self-consistent condition on the orbital occupancies.

In the simulations with Fireball'96 an sp³ basis set of orbitals was used with a cut-off radius of 2.15 Å. The supercell contained 12, 10 and 6 unit cells for the (5, 5), (7, 7) and (10, 10) nanotubes, respectively (the unit cell containing 20, 28 or 40 atoms for each tube). Four special *k*-points uniformly

distributed along the first Brillouin zone of the nanotubes have been included. Convergence of the calculations is achieved for forces acting over each atom being less than 0.01 eV Å⁻¹ and changes on the total energy of the system are below 10^{-3} eV; the time step was 0.5 fs.

2.2. Transport formalism

The calculation of the conductance has been performed within the Landauer–Büttiker formalism, more concretely in the Keldysh (non-equilibrium Green's functions) formalism, which is particularly convenient when using a localized basis set. The differential conductance g between two systems in the limit of zero temperature and low voltages is given by [14, 15]

$$g(E) = \frac{4\pi e^2}{\hbar} \operatorname{Tr}[\hat{D}_{11}^{\mathrm{A}}(E)\hat{T}_{12}\hat{\rho}_{22}^{(0)}(E)\hat{T}_{21}\hat{D}_{11}^{\mathrm{R}}(E)\hat{\rho}_{11}^{(0)}(E)],$$
(2)

where Tr[\hat{O}] represents the trace of operator \hat{O} ; \hat{T}_{12} describes the coupling between systems 1 and 2; $\hat{\rho}_{11}^{(0)}(E)$ and $\hat{\rho}_{22}^{(0)}(E)$ are the density of states matrices associated with the decoupled ($\hat{T}_{12} = 0$) systems 1 and 2. Multiple-scattering effects are included by means of the retarded and advanced denominator functions \hat{D} :

$$\hat{D}_{11}^{A} = [I - \hat{T}_{12}\hat{G}_{22}^{(0)A}\hat{T}_{21}\hat{G}_{11}^{(0)A}]^{-1}$$

$$\hat{D}_{22}^{R} = [I - \hat{T}_{21}\hat{G}_{11}^{(0)R}\hat{T}_{12}\hat{G}_{22}^{(0)R}]^{-1}.$$
(3)

We are interested in calculating the conductance of a defected nanotube, but we are not including in this analysis the effect of realistic contacts. The simulation geometry will thus consist of a device region where the nanotube containing the defects is connected to two semi-infinite perfect tubes (L and R) that act as left and right electrodes (see figure 1); the density of states of the electrodes is calculated using standard decimation techniques. The conductance along the defected region of the nanotube is calculated by an iterative procedure, starting on the left electrode L, and including at each step a random number of ideal layers of nanotube (shown as '...' in figure 1) as well as the block (supercell) of the nanotube containing the defect, and whose most stable reconstruction has been obtained using Fireball'96 (labeled as c_1 and c_2 in the same figure). After the inclusion of a new defect, the conductance between the 'left side' of the system (consisting of the left lead and the increasing number of ideal and defected layers of nanotube) and the right electrode (which remains invariable during the process) is calculated. In this way, we can calculate the conductance as a function of the number of defects included (and thus of the length of the tube) for different random configurations of defects distributed along the nanotube with a given mean distance d between them. The length L of the tubes is obtained from L = Nd, where N is the number of defects in the nanotube.

The main advantage of this linear-scaling numerical procedure is the fact that the size of the matrices that we need to invert at each step does not increase with the size of the system, but remains constant and equal to the size of the defected region of the nanotube. In this way, we can calculate the conductance for mesoscopic size tubes with



Figure 1. Nanotube scheme as considered for performing the conductance calculation: L and R are the semi-infinite ideal nanotubes that act as left and right electrodes; the central region is the defected nanotube, consisting of one or more blocks of ideal ('...') or defected $(c_1, c_2, ...)$ nanotube.



Figure 2. Relaxed geometries of the (5, 5) (top left), (7, 7) (top right) and (10, 10) (bottom) SWCNTs with a single monovacancy. Only the area around the defect (six layers) is shown.

different concentrations of defects without a very expensive computational effort.

The *hopping* or coupling between the layers of nanotube is assumed to be the coupling between the bulk layers of ideal nanotubes. For this reason, it is essential that the number of layers included in the supercell of the *ab initio* simulations is large enough that the surface layers of the supercell are not being affected by the perturbation caused by the defect and can be regarded as 'ideal' bulk layers.

At zero temperature, the conductance for an infinitesimal voltage is calculated just by the evaluation of the differential conductance g(E) at the Fermi level $E_{\rm f}$. However, at finite T there will be more states accessible to the electrons and the calculation of the conductance will be performed by means of the following expression [16]:

 $G = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left(-\frac{\mathrm{d}f_T(E)}{\mathrm{d}E} \right) g(E) \,\mathrm{d}E,$

where

$$f_T(E) = \frac{1}{e^{\frac{E-E_F}{k_B T}} + 1}$$
(5)

is the Fermi distribution function. In this way, the total conductance at a given temperature is calculated as the total

Table 1. Maximum and minimum diameters for (5, 5), (7, 7) and (10, 10) nanotubes with a single monovacancy and comparison of $\Delta E_{\text{monovac}}$ for the relaxed geometries.

CNT	$d_{\rm ideal}({\rm \AA})$	d_{\min} (Å)	d_{\max} (Å)	$\Delta E_{\rm monovac} ({\rm eV})$
(5, 5)	6.97	6.56	7.34	16.62
(7, 7)	9.76	9.36	10.20	17.41
(10, 10)	13.89	13.86	14.76	17.42

contribution from all the electronic states included in the thermal energy window opened by the finite temperature.

3. Analysis of a single defect

Theoretical simulations [17, 18] suggest that Ar^+ irradiation of carbon nanotubes will mainly create monovacancies and divacancies along the nanotube. We have therefore studied the monovacancy and the two possible orientations of the divacancy, which we will refer to as vertical (if the orientation of the chain of missing atoms is perpendicular to the nanotube direction) and lateral (if the orientation is partially parallel to the tube axis).

3.1. Monovacancies

The structural and electronic properties of vacancies in CNTs and other graphene-based materials have been extensively analyzed in the literature (see, for instance, [19–24]). The extraction of one single atom in the nanotube leads to a metastable state, that favours recombination of nearest neighbors to fill the empty space left by the vacancy.

3.1.1. Effect on the geometry. The relaxed geometries of the (5, 5), (7, 7) and (10, 10) SWCNTs containing a single monovacancy are shown in figure 2. After the relaxation, we found that new bonds are formed between the neighbors of the extracted atom, favoring three-atom against two-atom coordination. This well-known recombination of atoms is found in the three types of armchair CNTs analyzed in this work.

The tube diameter is also affected by the extraction of the single atom. It decreases in the zone of the defect and reaches its maximum value at a distance of ≈ 5 Å from the defect, recovering the diameter of the ideal tube at the surface layer of the supercells used in our simulations. Table 1 shows the

(4)



Figure 3. Relaxed geometries of the (5, 5) (top left), (7, 7) (top right) and (10, 10) (bottom) SWCNTs with a vertical divacancy. Only the area around the defect (six layers) is shown.

diameter (d) for ideal tubes as well as minimum and maximum diameter for defected ones.

3.1.2. Energetics. The analysis of the energetics of the monovacancy has been performed for each CNT by means of the following difference of total energies, that allow us to compare total energies for systems containing different numbers of atoms:

$$\Delta E_{\text{monovac}} \equiv |E_{\text{ideal}} - (E_{\text{monovac}} + E_{\text{atom}})|, \qquad (6)$$

where E_{ideal} is the total energy for an ideal tube calculated for a supercell containing the same number of layers as the supercell for the defected tube, $E_{monovac}$ is the total energy of the relaxed nanotube containing the monovacancy, and E_{atom} is the energy for the free atom. The energy difference $\Delta E_{monovac}$ for each tube is also shown in table 1.

3.2. Divacancies

Divacancies are created by extracting a second atom from the nanotube, leading to two possible orientations of the divacancy depending on which atom is extracted: removing the atom placed just above (or below) the first atom extracted will create a vertical divacancy (figure 3), whereas the lateral divacancy is formed by the extraction of the atom located to one side of the monovacancy (figure 4).

3.2.1. Effect on the geometry. We have found a similar reconstructed geometry for the three CNTs analyzed: atomic relaxation leads to a new geometry formed by two pentagons and an octagon. As for the monovacancy case, the diameter decreases locally in the zone containing the defect, but the effect is much more pronounced for the case of the lateral divacancy (see table 2).

Table 2. Maximum and minimum diameters for (5, 5), (7, 7) and (10, 10) nanotubes with a vertical and lateral divacancy and comparison of Δ for the relaxed geometries.

area around the defect (six layers) is shown.

CNT	d _{ideal} (Å)	$d_{ m min}$ (Å)	d _{max} (Å)	Δ (eV)
(5, 5) vertical divacancy	6.97	6.99	7.19	4.3
(5, 5) lateral divacancy	6.97	6.64	7.28	6.5
(7, 7) vertical divacancy(7, 7) lateral divacancy	9.76	9.72	9.99	4.8
	9.76	9.36	10.02	6.7
(10, 10) vertical divacancy	13.89	13.88	14.07	4.2
(10, 10) lateral divacancy	13.89	13.72	14.65	6.7

3.2.2. Energetics. The energy formation of the divacancies has been calculated in a similar way to the one in the case of the monovacancy. Now,

$$\Delta E_{\text{divac}} \equiv |E_{\text{ideal}} - (E_{\text{divac}} + 2 \times E_{\text{atom}})|. \tag{7}$$

According to the calculated energy formation, lateral divacancies are about 2 eV more stable than vertical ones for all the nanotubes analyzed.

We can also estimate the energy for an ideal system with two monovacancies infinitely separated using

$$E_{2\text{vac}} = E_{\text{ideal}} - 2(E_{\text{ideal}} - E_{\text{vac}}).$$
(8)

Comparing this energy difference ΔE_{divac} with the previously calculated $\Delta E_{\text{monovac}}$

$$\Delta \equiv |\Delta E_{\text{divac}} - 2 \times \Delta E_{\text{monovac}}|, \qquad (9)$$

we have found that formation of a lateral divacancy is between 6 and 7 eV more stable, for all CNTs studied, than formation of two monovacancies. Energy formation values for vertical divacancies are for all tubes between 4 and 5 eV smaller than those of the lateral ones when compared to the case of two isolated monovacancies. Thus, lateral divacancy formation on CNTs is preferred over that of vertical ones, and especially over formation of isolated monovacancies. Results are summarized in table 2.



Figure 5. Conductance as a function of energy for the (10, 10) SWCNT with a single monovacancy, before relaxation.

3.3. Scattering of a single defect at zero temperature

Although a large number of theoretical works have been performed to analyze the scattering produced by single defects (either topological or substitutional impurities), it is worth mentioning that a full relaxation of the atomic region around the defect is particularly important in the case of vacancies, where the energetically more stable reconstructed vacancy will present a different electronic structure to the ideal (nonreconstructed) one, leading to a very different behavior of the conductance and other transport properties, especially for energies close to the Fermi level.

In the case of a $\pi - \pi$ tight-binding model [25], a monovacancy in an armchair CNT will produce a drop of almost one conductance quantum, $G_0 = 2e^2/h$, right at the Fermi level, with the electron-hole symmetry fully preserved. The conductance of a single ideal monovacancy, that is, in the metastable non-reconstructed state, will also lead to a drop of almost $2e^2/h$, not at the Fermi level but somewhat below at the valence band, as shown in figure 5 (where the Fermi energy has been set to zero). This result agrees with previous *ab initio* calculations [26, 27].

However, the situation is very different in the case of the most stable reconstruction. Figure 6 shows the



Figure 6. Conductance as a function of energy for the (5, 5) (top left), (7, 7) (top right) and (10, 10) (bottom) SWCNTs with a single monovacancy after relaxation.



Figure 7. Conductance as a function of energy for the (5, 5) (top left), (7, 7) (top right) and (10, 10) (bottom) SWCNTs with a single vertical divacancy after relaxation.



Figure 8. Conductance as a function of energy for the (5, 5) (top left), (7, 7) (top right) and (10, 10) (bottom) SWCNTs with a single lateral divacancy after relaxation.

conductance as a function of the energy for the (5, 5), (7, 7) and (10, 10) nanotubes with a single monovacancy after relaxation. Rearrangement of atoms has favoured the saturation of dangling bonds, and the reconstructed nanotube presents a conductance much more similar to the ideal case for low energy than that of the metastable monovacancy. In particular, there is a very small drop in the conductance for energies in the vicinity of the Fermi level, and the narrow peaks in the conduction band due to the unsaturated σ bonds that are present for the non-reconstructed geometry have disappeared. As expected, the drop in the conductance decreases with increasing diameter of the nanotubes, being practically negligible for the (10, 10) tube. This is in good agreement with previous calculations [27, 28].

The drop of the conductance is much larger for both orientations of the divacancy (see figures 7 and 8). In all cases a maximum drop of about one conductance quantum is found for the two orientations of the divacancy. This is also in agreement with previous works [23].

As lateral divacancies are much more stable than the vertical ones, and due to small drop in the conductance caused by monovacancies, the results that we present include only lateral divacancies.

4. Statistical analysis

Once the transport properties of the isolated defects in the infinite nanotube have been characterized, we are interested in calculating the conductance along a more realistic nanotube in which defects can be randomly distributed along and around the axis of the tube. Lateral divacancies are distributed along the tube with the distance between consecutive defects presenting a uniform random distribution between 0 and 2d, d thus being the mean distance between defects for a particular defect density. The calculation of the resistance (as the inverse of the differential conductance) for each particular random distribution of defects has been performed as explained in section 2.2.



Figure 9. Conductance as a function of energy for the (5, 5) nanotube and a mean distance between defects of d = 10.1 nm for a different number, *N*, of defects: N = 1, 3, 7, 10, 15 and 20 (from top to bottom).

For each particular distribution of defects we can calculate the conductance as a function of energy according to (4). In figure 9 we plot the conductance around a small energy region around the Fermi level for the (5, 5) nanotube and a mean distance between defects of d = 10.1 nm for different number of defects included in the nanotube. The nanotube conductance presents strong fluctuations, with a maximum value close to the conductance quantum and a minimum that becomes smaller for an increasing number of divacancies. In fact, the conductance never crosses the critical value of one conductance quantum once a certain number of defects have been included. This result can be very clearly seen in figure 10,



Figure 10. Differential conductance at the Fermi level as a function of the number of defects, N, for d = 75.5 nm for the (10, 10) nanotube and for a selected number of the calculated random distributions of defects.

where the conductance never reaches values higher than $1G_0$ after N = 5 [7]. To clarify this issue, we have diagonalized the transmission matrix [30] to calculate the conductance for each of the two conduction channels existing for energies around the Fermi level. According to this, only one of the two conduction channels is contributing to the total conductance once a number of 5–9 divacancies are present in the nanotube. The origin of this channel suppression remains, however, unclear.

The appropriately averaged resistance—according to the theory of disordered one-dimensional systems [29]—for a statistically significant number of random configurations of defects (up to 100 in the case of zero temperature, and up to 15 for the room temperature case, where the dispersion with respect to the mean value is much smaller) has been calculated for mean distances d between 10.1 and 75.5 nm.

Figure 11 shows the resistance, for the (10, 10) CNT, of a selected number of the calculated random distributions of defects at both zero and room temperature. Curves with the same color in left and right plots correspond to the same configuration of defects. At zero temperature, the resistance for each defect realization strongly fluctuates along the nanotube length, showing the characteristic behavior of the disordered 1D system. The average resistance, however, presents a clear exponential behavior as a function of the length, which is a typical feature of strongly localized systems. At room temperature, resistance fluctuations disappear for increasing

values of T, leading to very smooth curves when a critical temperature (scaling with 1/d) is reached, but preserving the exponential behavior. This confirms the presence of the Anderson localization regime at room temperature for the defected nanotubes studied. This result has been confirmed by experimental data [6, 31].

Figure 12 shows the averaged resistance for different mean distances at room temperature for the (7, 7) nanotube. For all defect densities studied we have found the strongly localized regime and the exponential increase of the resistance as a function of the tube length. We can then fit the average resistance for every *d* to the expression

$$R(L) \sim R_0 \,\mathrm{e}^{L/L_0},$$
 (10)

where L is the length of the nanotube calculated as L = Ndand L_0 is the localization length. In this way we can calculate the localization length L_0 for every defect density. These results for the (7, 7) nanotube are summarized in figure 12 (right). A detailed analysis of the methodology and theoretical results obtained at different temperatures, as well as the experimental data supporting these conclusions, can be found in [6, 7] for the (10, 10) and in [31] for the (5, 5).

5. Conclusions

In summary, we have analyzed, by means of a combination of ab initio simulations and linear-scaling Green's functions techniques, transport properties of armchair (5, 5), (7, 7) and (10, 10) carbon nanotubes with realistic disorder, namely monovacancies and divacancies. In a first step, first-principles simulations for each defect have been performed in order to determine the most stable reconstructions. The conductance profile for every defect has been then analyzed. Our results show that reconstructed monovacancies present an almost negligible drop of the nanotube conductance at the Fermi level compared to that of divacancies. As the lateral orientation of the divacancy is found to be about 2 eV more stable than the vertical one for all the nanotubes considered, only lateral divacancies have been included in our analysis of long tubes with different defect densities. Using an O(N) recursive procedure based on standard Green's functions techniques



Figure 11. (10, 10) CNT: colored lines: $\ln(2R/R_0)$ as a function of the number of defects, N, for d = 75.5 nm and for a selected number of the calculated random distributions of defects at T = 0 (left) and T = 300 K (right). Thick black lines: averaged resistance for the total number of defect realizations considered. Gray shadowed region: mean quadratic deviation with respect to the averaged resistance value. R_0 is the inverse of the conductance quantum G_0 .



Figure 12. Left: averaged $\ln(2R/R_0)$ for different mean distances between defects *d* at room temperature for the (7, 7) nanotube. Right: values for L_0 , calculated by means of (10), as a function of *d* for the same nanotube.

we have calculated the localization length for several defect densities, predicting the existence of the Anderson localization regime for defected carbon nanotubes at room temperature.

Acknowledgments

This work was partially supported by Spanish MCyT under contracts MAT2004-01271, MAT2005-01298, MAT2002-01534 and NAN2004-09183-C10, and by Comunidad de Madrid under contract S0505/MAT-0303 and the European Community IST-2001-38052 and NMP4-CT-2004-500198 grants. AR acknowledges support by the European Community Network of Excellence Nanoquanta (NMP4-CT-2004-500198), SANES (NMP4-CT-2006-017310), DNA-NANODEVICES (IST-2006-029192), NANO-ERA-Chemistry projects, Spanish MEC (FIS2007-65702-C02-01), Basque Country University (SGIker ARINA) and Basque Country Government (Grupos Consolidados 2007).

References

- [1] Iijima S 1991 Nature **354** 56
- [2] Charlier J-C, Blase X and Roche S 2007 *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 79 677 and references therein
- [3] Liang W, Bockrath M, Bozovic D, Hafner J H, Tinkham M and Park H 1991 *Nature* 411 665
 Engels S, Bageherel D, Wang Z L and de Herry W A 1008
 - Frank S, Poncharal P, Wang Z L and de Heer W A 1998 Science **280** 1744
 - Bachtold A, Strunk C, Salvetat J-P, Bonard J-M, Forró L, Nussbaumer T and Schönenberger C 1999 *Nature* **397** 673 Javey A, Guo J, Wang Q, Lundstrom M and Dai H J 2003
 - Nature **424** 654 Park J Y, Rosenblatt S, Yaish Y, Sazonova V, Ustünel H, Braig S, Arias T A, Brouwer P W and McEuen P L 2004 Nano Lett. **4** 517
- [4] Banhart F 1999 *Rep. Prog. Phys.* 62 1181
 Hashimoto A, Suenaga K, Gloter A, Urita K and Iijima S 2004
 Nature 430 870
- [5] Bockrath M, Liang W, Bozovic D, Hafner J H, Lieber C M, Tinkham M and Park H 2001 Science 291 283
 Bachtold A, Hadley P, Nakanishi T and Dekker C 2001 Science 294 1317

Anantram M P and Léonard F 2006 Rep. Prog. Phys. 69 507

- [6] Gómez-Navarro C, de Pablo P J, Gómez-Herrero J, Biel B, García-Vidal F J, Rubio A and Flores F 2005 Nat. Mater. 4 534
- [7] Biel B, García-Vidal F J, Rubio A and Flores F 2005 Phys. Rev. Lett. 96 266801
- [8] Latil S, Roche S, Mayou D and Charlier J-C 2004 Phys. Rev. Lett. 92 256805
- [9] Avriller R, Latil S, Triozon F, Blase X and Roche S 2006 Phys. Rev. B 74 121406
- [10] Sankey O F and Niklewski D J 1989 Phys. Rev. B 40 3979
- [11] Demkov A, Ortega J, Sankey O F and Grumbach M P 1995
- *Phys. Rev.* B **52** 1618 [12] Ortega J 1998 *Comput. Mater. Sci.* **12** 192
- [13] Perdew J and Zunger A 1981 *Phys. Rev.* B **23** 5048
- [14] Martín-Rodero A, Flores F and March N H 1988 *Phys. Rev.* B 38 10047
- [15] Mingo N, Jurczyszyn L, García-Vidal F, Sáiz-Pardo R, de Andrés P, Flores F, Wu S and More W 1996 *Phys. Rev.* B 54 2225
- [16] Büttiker M, Imry Y, Landauer R and Pinhas S 1985 Phys. Rev. B 31 6207
- [17] Krasheninnikov A V, Nordlund K, Sirvio M, Salonen E and Keinonen J 2001 Phys. Rev. B 63 245405
- [18] Krasheninnikov A V, Nordlund K and Keinonen J 2002 Phys. Rev. B 65 165423
- [19] Ajayan P M, Ravikumar V and Charlier J-C 1998 Phys. Rev. Lett. 81 1437
- [20] Ewels C P, Heggie M I and Briddon P R 2002 Chem. Phys. Lett. 351 178
- [21] Lu A J and Pan B C 2004 Phys. Rev. Lett. 92 105504
- [22] Kotakoski J, Krasheninnikov A V and Nordlund K 2006 Phys. Rev. B 74 245420
- [23] Amorim R G, Fazzio A, Antonelli A, Novaes F D and da Silva A J R 2007 Nano Lett. 7 2459
- [24] Amara H, Latil S, Meunier V, Lambin Ph and Charlier J-C 2007 Phys. Rev. B 76 115423
- [25] Chico L, Benedict L X, Louie S G and Cohen M L 1996 Phys. Rev. B 54 2600
- [26] Choi H J, Ihm J, Louie S G and Cohen M L 2000 Phys. Rev. Lett. 84 2917
- [27] Son Y W, Cohen M L and Louie S G 2007 Nano Lett. 7 3518
- [28] Brandbyge M, Mozos J-L, Ordejón P, Taylor J and
- Stokbro K 2002 Phys. Rev. B 65 165401
- [29] Pendry J B 1994 Adv. Phys. 43 461
- [30] Jelínek P, Pérez R, Ortega J and Flores F 2003 Phys. Rev. B 68 085403
- [31] Flores F, Biel B, Rubio A, García-Vidal F J, Gómez-Navarro C, de Pablo P J and Gómez-Herrero J 2008 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter at press